

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/978,516	10/15/2001	Hwai-Tay Lin	CFP-1489	5040	
7:	590 11/19/2002				
Alan Kamrath RIDER BENNETT EGAN & ARUNDEL, LLP 333 South Seventh Street, Suite 2000			EXAMINER		
			DURAND, PAUL R		
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3721		
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>~</u>)		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/978,516	LIN, HWAI-TAY			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul Durand	3721	_		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may y within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.				
Application Papers	\ <u>-</u>	,			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		y the Everiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.(C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But	rity documents have be reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	en received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			•		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regard to claim 1,2 and 7, it is not clear which end wall is being claimed.

In regard to claim 3, is indefinite in that it is not clear what is the location and orientation of the plane.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the annular wall" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Golsch (US 4,932,480) in view of Boothby et al (US 5,617,925).

In regard to claim 1, Golsch discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a tool 10, a head portion, which holds cylinder 20, inner peripheral wall 22,

Art Unit: 3721

with plural ports 80, end wall 24, with through hole 36, piston 26, with mounted driving element 32 and bumper 70 (see figures 1 and 2). What Golsch does not discloses is a bumper comprised of two pieces each having a different rigidity. However Boothby teaches that it is old and well known in the art of tool driving to provide plural bumper elements 102 and 104, with a different rigidty for the purpose of reducing tool wear (see Fig. 5 and C9, L32-38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the tool of Golsch with the bumper elements as taught by Boothby for the purposes of reducing tool wear.

In regard to claims 4-6 and 8-10, Golsch discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a head portion that contains ports 80 and gaps 112 not in contact with inner peripheral wall 22 and a annular connecting wall 82 at a different level than of the inner peripheral wall 22 (see figures 1 and 2). What Golsch does not disclose is a tool comprised of combustion power. However, the examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the art to provide a driving tool powered by combustion for the purpose of increasing operational efficiency.

5. Claims 2,3,7 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Golsch in view of Boothby et al and in further view of Kemper et al (US 4,050,505).

Golsch and Boothby disclose the invention substantially as claimed including a bumper 70, flanged section 108 that abuts annular connecting wall 82, annular connecting wall 82 at a different level than of the inner peripheral wall 22 and plural ports 80. What Golsch and Boothby do not disclose is the specifics of a two piece

Art Unit: 3721

bumper. However Kemper teaches that it is old and well known in the art to provide a two piece bumper that has an enlarged second section 9, that is less rigid than a first section 7, and abuts an end wall 13 and an inner wall for the purpose of reducing tool wear (see Figs. 1,2 and C2, L65 – C3, L7). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the tool of Golsch with the bumper elements as taught by Kemper for the purposes of reducing tool wear.

In regard to claim 13, Golsch discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a head portion that contains ports 80 and gaps 112 not in contact with inner peripheral wall 22 and a annular connecting wall 82 at a different level than of the inner peripheral wall 22 (see figures 1 and 2). What Golsch does not disclose is a tool comprised of combustion power. However, the examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the art to provide a driving tool powered by combustion for the purpose of increasing operational efficiency.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Doyle, Bade, Maurer et al, Elliesen, Becht, Yamada et al and Deieso have been cited to show devices having similar structure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Durand whose telephone number is 703-305-4962. The examiner can normally be reached on 0700-1730, Monday Thursday.

Art Unit: 3721

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi I Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Paul Durand November 12, 2002

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700